

Many Jam Rollings adm^r Jno. O'Rollings dec^d Plff } In Chancery
 against
 George P. Harris } Resp^t

bk. 1847
 p. 150
 Jan 5, 1848
 Long 1630
 3747

This day this cause came on again to be heard on the papers
 formerly read and the reports of Commissioner Puffer of
 the judgments docketed against Geo. Harris and their
 priorities also an account of the actual and annual
 value of the real estate owned by the said George P. Har-
 ris and to which reports no exceptions have been filed
 and was argued by Counsel. On consideration whereof the Court
 doth adjudge, order and decree that the said reports be
 confirmed, and it appearing to the Court from the said
 reports that the rents arising from the lands of the said
 G. P. Harris will pay all the judgments proved against
 Harris in full apurs. The Court doth further adjudge, order
 and decree that the Sheriff of Southampton W. W. Briggs put
 out the said tract of lands containing fifty acres belonging to
 George P. Harris from year to year until the said judgments
 and costs of this suit are paid, paying according to priority
 and the said Sheriff will report his doings along with his
 receipts annually to the Court for its further decree

John W. Brattle, Augustin C. Brattle, Morris S. Brattle,
 Joseph Bennett & Rufus J. Fair depts formerly Brattle and
 Jefferson & Wells } Resp^t

against
 John W. Brattle & Potation of Stephenson Esq. } In Chancery

bk. 1606
 5 Jan 1630
 2256

This day this Cause came on to be heard on the Petⁿ of the Complam^{ts},
 the answer of the Infant Defendants by L^{ts} C. Barrett their guardian & then
 with general replication thereto, & was argued by Counsel. On consideration
 whereof the Court doth adjudge, order and decree that J. B. Brattle, John W.
 Brattle of John J. Brattle, do and they are hereby appointed Commissioners
 for the purpose, that they proceed to divide the real estate whereof
 Alfred J. Brattle dec^d died & which was the entire land of his
 widow Consisting of acres among the parties thereto entitled;
 But if said Commissioners cannot divide the said land among the
 heirs, or should so of opinion that a sale thereof, would promote
 the interests of the interested parties, then they are to report their
 opinion with the facts to Court, and also report what is the
 full Cash Value of said Estate

H. S. Vignatier
 against
 J. H. Vignatier Son

Resp^t } In Chancery
 Sept

On Motion of the Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed.

C. of Vignatier
 against
 H. S. Vignatier
 On Motion of the Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed.

Resp^t } In Chancery
 Sept